			•	
L . Number	Hits	Search Text	DB	Time stamp
1	3	FORCE ADJ FEEDBACK SAME CURSOR SAME (SHAKE OR JITTER)	USPAT; US-PGPUB; EPO; JPO; DERWENT;	2002/06/28 06:31
2	43	FORCE ADJ FEEDBACK SAME FILTER	IBM_TDB USPAT; US-PGPUB; EPO; JPO;	2002/06/28 07:03
3	9	HAPTIC SAME FILTER	DERWENT; IBM_TDB USPAT; US-PGPUB; EPO; JPO;	2002/06/28 06:59
4	163	FORCE ADJ FEEDBACK SAME (VIBRAT\$3 OR NOISE OR JITTER)	DERWENT; IBM_TDB USPAT; US-PGPUB; EPO; JPO; DERWENT;	2002/06/28 07:51
6	117	IMMERSION\$.AS.	IBM_TDB USPAT;	2002/06/28
7	,7	IMMERSION\$.AS. AND FILTER.CLM.	US-PGPUB USPAT; US-PGPUB	07:59   2002/06/28   07:52
8 .	1	("6342880").PN.	USPAT	2002/06/28
10	1	(("6342880").PN.) AND FILTER	USPAT	2002/06/28
11	1	("6310605").PN.	USPAT	2002/06/28
12	1	(("6310605").PN.) AND REPORT	USPAT	2002/06/28 08:31
13	1	("5298918").PN.	USPAT	2002/06/28
14	1	("5742278").PN.	USPAT	2002/06/28
15	2711	((345/256) or (345/157) or (345/158) or (345/161) or (345/184) or (463/30) or (463/38) or (434/45) or (244/223) or (318/568.1) or (318/568.11)).CCLS.	USPAT	2002/06/28
16	347	(((345/256) or (345/157) or (345/158) or (345/161) or (345/184) or (463/30) or (463/38) or (434/45) or (244/223) or (318/568.1) or (318/568.11)).CCLS.) AND	USPAT	2002/06/28 09:32
19	12	@PD>20010507 ((((345/256) or (345/157) or (345/158) or (345/161) or (345/184) or (463/30) or (463/38) or (434/45) or (244/223) or (318/568.1) or (318/568.11)).CCLS.) AND	USPAT	2002/06/28 09:33
-	1272	@PD>20010507) AND @AD<19970414 rosenberg,\$.in.	USPAT	2002/06/27 09:03
-	77	rosenberg, \$.in. and force adj feedback	USPAT	2002/06/27
-	1	rosenberg, \$.in. and force adj feedback and disturbance.clm.	US-PGPUB	2002/06/27
_	4		USPAT	2002/06/27
-	1127		USPAT	2002/06/27
-	999	haptic	USPAT	2002/06/27 10:05
-	1954	(force adj feedback) or haptic	USPAT	2002/06/27 10:14
-	340	filter	USPAT	2002/06/27 10:16
-	111	((force adj feedback) or haptic) and force same filter	USPAT	2002/06/27 10:16

6/28/02 9:35:43 AM Page 1 Search History

10/004,170

US-PAT-NO: 6067077

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6067077 A

TITLE: Position sensing for force feedback devices

DATE-ISSUED: May 23, 2000

INVENTOR-INFORMATION:

Inventor intoldrillor.			
NAME	CITY	STATE	ZIP
CODE COUNTRY			
Martin; Kenneth M.	Palo Alto	CA	N/A
N/A·			
Braun; Adam C.	Sunnyvale	CA	N/A
· N/A	-		
Bruneau; Ryan D.	Sunnyvale	CA	N/A
N/A	_		

US-CL-CURRENT: 345/161,463/38

## CLAIMS:

What is claimed is:

1. A method for compensating for sensing inaccuracies contributed to by compliance

in the mechanical systems of a force feedback device, the force feedback device

being coupled to a host computer and including at least one actuator for outputting

forces felt by said user, the method comprising:

reading a raw sensor value representing a position of a manipulandum of said force

feedback device in a range of motion of said manipulandum, said manipulandum being

physically contacted and manipulated by a user;

adjusting said raw sensor value based on a compliance of said force feedback device

between a sensor and said manipulandum, said adjustment compensating for said

compliance to provide a more accurate position of said manipulandum; and

using said adjusted sensor value to represent said position of said

manipulandum.

2. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein said adjusting of said raw sensor value

includes adjusting said raw sensor value by a value determined based on a compliance

see claim 28

chims 9, 26,28

06/28/2002, EAST Version: 1.03.0002

constant and a current output force, wherein said compliance constant is previously determined.

- 3. A method as recited in claim 1 further comprising using said raw sensor value
- for determining closed-loop position-dependent forces by said force feedback device,
- and wherein said adjusted sensor value is reported to said host computer to update
- an application program implemented by said host computer.
- 4. A method as recited in claim 3 wherein said position-dependent forces are determined by a microprocessor local to said interface device and separate from said host computer.
- 5. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein said sensor value is obtained from a sensor coupled to said actuator of said force feedback device.
- 6. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein said force feedback device includes a belt drive for transmitting forces from said actuator to said manipulandum.
- 7. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein a microprocessor separate from said host computer and local to said force feedback device performs said adjusting.
- 8. A method as recited in claim 7 wherein said adjusted sensor value is reported to said host computer as said position of said manipulandum, wherein said host computer uses said adjusted sensor value when updating an application program implemented by said host computer.
- 9. A method as recited in claim 1 further comprising filtering said raw value for

overshoot sensor values occurring at limits to said range of motion of said manipulandum.

- 10. A method as recited in claim 1 further comprising dynamically calibrating said
- range of motion of said manipulandum by adjusting minimum and maximum values of said
- range of motion based on the extent of motion of said manipulandum up to a current point in time.
- 11. A method as recited in claim 1 wherein said manipulandum is a joystick and

wherein said joystick can be moved in two degrees of freedom, each of said degrees

of freedom providing a different raw sensor value to be adjusted.

12. A method as recited in claim 1 further comprising normalizing said raw sensor

value to a normalized range of motion, said normalizing including providing a

saturation zone at ends of said normalized range of motion that adjusts sensor

values over a saturation limit provided at said ends of said normalized range of

motion to a saturation level, wherein said normalized sensor value is provided to said host computer.

13. A force feedback device coupled to a host computer, the force feedback device comprising:

a manipulandum physically contacted and manipulable by a user;

a linkage mechanism providing a degree of freedom to said manipulandum;

at least one actuator coupled to said linkage mechanism, said actuator operative to

output a force on said manipulandum in said degree of freedom;

at least one sensor operative to sense a position of said manipulandum in said

degree of freedom and to output a raw sensor value representing said position; and

a local microprocessor, separate from and communicating with said host computer,

said local microprocessor receiving said raw sensor value from said sensor and

adjusting said raw sensor value based on a compliance between said sensor and said

manipulandum in said force feedback device, said adjustment compensating for said

compliance to provide a more accurate position of said manipulandum, and wherein

said local microprocessor outputs said adjusted sensor value to said host computer

as said position of said manipulandum.

14. A force feedback device as recited in claim 13 wherein said a linkage mechanism

providing said manipulandum with first and second rotary degrees of freedom, said

linkage mechanism and includes a chain of four

rotatably-coupled members coupled to ground at each end of said chain.

15. A force feedback device as recited in claim 13 further comprising a belt drive

transmission coupled between said actuator and said linkage mechanism, said belt

drive transmission including a flat belt.

16. A force feedback device as recited in claim 15 wherein said manipulandum

includes a joystick.

- 17. A force feedback device as recited in claim 15 wherein said at least one sensor
- is a relative digital encoder.
- 18. A force feedback device as recited in claim 13 wherein said at least one sensor
- is coupled to said at least one actuator of said force feedback device such that
- said sensor detects rotation of a shaft of said actuator.
- 19. A force feedback device as recited in claim 18 wherein said microprocessor

dynamically calibrates said range of motion of said manipulandum by adjusting

minimum and maximum values of said range of motion based on the extent of motion of

said manipulandum up to a current point in time.

20. A force feedback device as recited in claim 13 wherein said local

microprocessor uses said raw sensor value to determine closed-loop forces that are

dependent on a position of said user object.

- 21. A method for dynamically calibrating a sensed range of motion of a manipulandum
- of a force feedback device, said force feedback device being coupled to a host

computer and including an actuator and at least one relative sensor, the method comprising:

- a) providing a predetermined initial range of movement for said manipulandum when
- said force feedback device is initially powered, said
  initial range including two

boundary values, said boundary values including a maximum value and a minimum value;

- b) receiving a sensor value representing a position of said manipulandum of said
- force feedback device in said range of movement as said manipulandum is moved;
- c) setting said maximum value or said minimum value to said

received sensor value if said received sensor value is outside said initial range; and

d) adjusting said other of said boundary values not set in step c) to maintain said

initial range between said maximum value and said minimum value unless said other of

said boundary values has been previously detected to be outside said initial range.

22. A method as recited in claim 21 wherein said initial range is greater than zero

and is less than an entire physical range of motion of said manipulandum.

23. A method as recited in claim 22 wherein said initial range is about one-half an

approximate full range of said sensor.

24. A method as recited in claim 21 wherein said manipulandum is considered to be

positioned at about a center of said initial range of movement when said force

feedback device is initially powered.

25. A method as recited in claim 21 wherein said manipulandum is a joystick handle.

26. A method for providing accurate sensing of position of a manipulandum in a

force feedback device that includes compliance between said manipulandum and a

position sensor of said force feedback device, the force feedback device including

at least one actuator for outputting forces and being coupled to a host computer,

the method comprising:

reading a raw sensor value representing a position of a manipulandum of said force

feedback device in a range of motion of said manipulandum, said manipulandum being

phyisically contacted and manipulable by a user;

filtering said raw sensor value for overshoot sensor values occurring at limits to

said range of motion of said manipulandum; and dynamically calibrating said range of motion of said manipulandum by adjusting

minimum and maximum values of said range of motion based on the extent of motion of

said manipulandum up to a current point in time, wherein said dynamic calibration

uses said filtered sensor values.

27. A method as recited in claim 26 further comprising

using said unfiltered raw sensor values for determining a position of said manipulandum in said range of motion.

- 28. A method as recited in claim 26 wherein said filtering includes using a low
- pass filter on said raw sensor data.
- 29. A method as recited in claim 26 wherein said dynamically calibrating includes

assigning an initial range with initial maximum and initial minimum values to said manipulandum.

- 30. A method as recited in claim 29 wherein said minimum and maximum values are
- adjusted to keep said initial range between said minimum and maximum values until

both said minimum and maximum values are detected outside said initial range.

said minimum value, further comprising:

- i) setting said minimum value to said filtered sensor value, and
- ii) adjusting said maximum value to maintain a constant range from said minimum
- value, unless said maximum value has previously been detected outside said initial range.
- 32. A method as recited in clam 31 wherein if said filtered sensor value is above
- said maximum value, further comprising:
- i) setting a maximum value to said filtered sensor value;and
- ii) adjusting said minimum value to maintain a constant range from said maximum
- value, unless said minimum value has previously been detected outside said initial range.
- 33. A method as recited in claim 26 further comprising adjusting said raw sensor
- value based on a compliance of said force feedback device, said adjustment
- compensating for said compliance to provide a more accurate position of said
- manipulandum and reporting said adjusted sensor value as said position of said
- manipulandum to said host computer.
- 34. A method as recited in claim 26 further comprising

normalizing said raw sensor value to a normalized range, wherein said normalized value is reported to said host computer. 35. A method as recited in claim 34 wherein said normalizing includes providing a saturation zone at each limit of said normalized range, said saturation zone causing said sensor values over a saturation level provided at said ends of said normalized range of motion to be adjusted to said saturation level. A method for compensating for sensing inaccuracies contributed to by compliance in the mechanical systems of a force feedback device, the force feedback device including at least one actuator for outputting forces and being coupled to a host computer, the method comprising: reading a raw sensor value representing a position of a manipulandum of said force feedback device in a range of motion of said manipulandum, said manipulandum being physically contacted and manipulable by a user; normalizing said raw sensor value to a normalized range of motion, said normalizing including providing a saturation zone at each end of said normalized range of motion that adjusts sensor values over a saturation level provided at said ends of said normalized range of motion to said saturation level; reporting said normalized sensor value to said host computer, wherein said host computer updates an application program using said normalized sensor value. 37. A method as recited in claim 36 wherein said normalizing is provided according to a normalizing function, said normalizing function being a linear function having said saturation levels at said ends of said linear function, wherein said normalizing function has a greater slope between said ends of said range of motion than a normalizing function without said saturation zones. A method as recited in claim 36 further comprising adjusting said raw sensor value based on a compliance of said force feedback device, said adjustment

compensating for said compliance to provide a more accurate

position of said manipulandum.

39. A method as recited in claim 38 wherein said adjusted sensor value is

normalized to said normalized range of motion and is reported to said host computer.

40. A method as recited in claim 39 wherein said raw sensor value is normalized to

said normalized range of motion and is used for local determination of forces by a

local microprocessor separate from said host computer.

41. A method as recited in claim 40 wherein said local determination of forces

includes determining a closed-loop condition force, said closed-loop condition force

including one of a spring force, a damping force, and a texture force.

42. A method as recited in claim 36 further comprising dynamically calibrating said

range of motion of said manipulandum by adjusting minimum and maximum values of said

range of motion based on the extent of motion of said manipulandum up to a current point in time.

43. A method for dynamically calibrating a sensed range of motion of a manipulandum

of a force feedback device during usage of the device, said force feedback device

being coupled to a host computer and including an actuator and at least one sensor,

the method comprising:

providing a sensing range of motion for said manipulandum less than a full range of

motion of said manipulandum, said sensing range having a maximum value and a minimum

value;

reading a sensor value using said at least one sensor, said sensor value

representing a position of said manipulandum of said force feedback device

as said manipulandum is moved;

outputting a force on said manipulandum by said actuator approximately concurrently

with said reading of said sensor value;

setting said maximum value of said sensing range to said sensor value if said sensor

value is greater than said maximum value, wherein said
maximum value is not set if

said force is being output in a direction towards a maximum physical limit past said maximum value; and setting said minimum value of said sensing range to said sensor value if said sensor value is less than said minimum value, wherein said minimum value is not set if said force is being output in a direction towards a minimum physical limit past said minimum value. A method as recited in claim 43 further comprising repeating said reading,

outputting and setting said values for a plurality of successive sensor values.

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 6067077 A

TITLE: Position sensing for force feedback devices

----- KWIC -----

ASZZ:

• • •

Immersion Corporation

CLPR:

28. A method as recited in claim 26 wherein said filtering includes using a  $\,$ 

low pass filter on said raw sensor data.

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5116180 A

TITLE: Human-in-the-loop machine control loop

----- KWIC -----

## DEPR:

The bandwidth of the force feedback loop is only limited by the noise <u>filter</u>

121 and the sampling frequency of the measured force signals from the

force/moment sensor 104. Thus, the force feedback response may be made

sufficiently fast to reflect all humanly perceptible changes in the force.

Since a human hand can distinguish vibrations of up to about 10 Hertz, the

bandwidth of the force feedback loop of this invention is chosen so as to

reflect vibrations of at least this frequency.

## DEPR:

The position signals from the potentiometers 131 of the hand controller input

gravity compensation block 125 via the analogue to digital converter 103b. The

output of the gravity compensator is summed with the force feedback signals at

summing junction 120 and, hence, is fed back to the motors 130 of the hand

controller via <u>noise filter 121</u>, summing junction 122, and power amplifier 123.

The gravity compensator, by way of an algorithm well known to those skilled in

the art, provides a feedback signal which negatives the effects of gravity on

the hand controller. Thus, acting alone, the gravity compensator makes the

joystick seem weightless in the hands of an operator.

PGPUB-DOCUMENT-NUMBER: 20010045941

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 20010045941 A1

TITLE: FORCE FEEDBACK SYSTEM INCLUDING MULTIPLE FORCE

**PROCESSORS** 

----- KWIC -----

CLTX:

31. A force feedback interface device as recited in claim 28 wherein said haptic accelerator includes a filter for rejecting spurious

haptic accelerator includes a filter for rejecting spurious raw sensor signals.

Now 4.5. Patent 6,342,880
Putent does not claim the Filter.

JB
6/28/02

06/28/2002, EAST Version: 1.03.0002

DOCUMENT-IDENTIFIER: US 5999168 A

TITLE: Haptic accelerator for force feedback computer

peripherals

----- KWIC -----

## CLPR:

30. A force feedback interface device as recited in claim 27 wherein said

haptic accelerator includes a filter for rejecting spurious raw sensor signals.

claims 9, 30 and 38 filter